

## **ATP7B ALLELE FREQUENCIES DISTINCT SUBTYPES IN ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE PATIENTS**



Rosanna Squitti<sup>1</sup>, M. Ventriglia<sup>1</sup>, M. Gennarelli<sup>2,3</sup>, N. Colabufo<sup>4</sup>, O. Zanetti<sup>5</sup>, M. Rongioletti<sup>6</sup>, P.M. Rossini<sup>7</sup>, C. Bonvicini<sup>2</sup>

1Fatebenefratelli Foundation for Research, AFaR Division and 6Unità Biomolecolare of Fatebenefratelli Hospital, Isola Tiberina, Rome, Italy; 2Genetic Unit and 5Alzheimer Unit, IRCCS Centro San Giovanni di Dio, Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy; 3Departement of Molecular and Translational Medicine, University of Brescia, Italy; 4Dipartimento di Farmacia-Scienze del Farmaco, Università"A. Moro" Bari (Italy); 7Institute of Neurology, Department of Geriatrics, Neuroscience and Orthopedics, Catholic University, Policlinic A. Gemelli, Rome, Italy

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Meta-analyses show that serum copper non-bound-to-ceruloplasmin (Non-Cp-Cu) is higher in patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD). If Non-Cp-Cu pool becomes expanded this copper becomes toxic. This is the case of Wilson's disease, the paradigmatic disease of Non-Cp-Cu toxicosis or accumulation, when it does greatly. Moreover, a number of studies demonstrated that the size of Non-Cp-Cu pool correlates negatively with measures of cognition, cerebrospinal fluid AD markers and positively with the rate of cognition loss over time, and with the risk of conversion of patients from mild cognitive impaired status, the precursor state to AD, to full AD. ATP7B gene variants associate with AD, modulating the size of Non-Cp-Cu pool. However, a dedicated genetic study comparing AD patients after the stratification for a copper biomarker to demonstrate the existence of a copper subtype of AD has not yet been carried out. An independent patient sample of 287 AD patients was assessed for Non-Cp-Cu serum concentrations, rs1801243, rs1061472, rs732774 ATP7B genetic variants and APOE4 genotype. Patients were stratified in two groups based on a Non-Cp-Cu cut off (1.9  $\mu$ M).

#### Table1: Characteristics of the AD groups stratified for Non-Cp-Cu

	AD patients, High Non-Cp-Cu	AD patients, Normal Non-Cp-Cu	Significance of the comparison between groups (p)	whole AD group
Number of subjects	176 (61.3)	111 (38.7)		287
Sex [n of F] (F%)	118 (67)	76 (68.5)	p <sup>1</sup> =0.80	194 (67.6)
Age [years] Mean (SD)	80.7 (6.9)	81.5 (6.8)	p=0.34	81.0 (6.8)
APOE4 allele frequency [%]	24.7	31.5	p <sup>1</sup> =0.092	27.4
Carriers of at least one APOE4 allele [n] (%)	74 (42)	58 (52)		132 (46)
MMSE [score] Mean (SD)	11.9 (7.5)	11.5 (6.7)	p=0.59	11.7 (7.2)
Education [years] Mean (SD)	6.2 (3.6)	6.2 (3.4)	p=0.97	6.2 (3.5)
Non-Cp-Cu [µmol/L] Mean (SD)	2.5 (0.5)	1.6 (0.3)	p<0.0001	2.1 (0.6)

### **METHODS**

#### Subjects

287AD patients (NINCDS–ADRDA criteria) with an MMSE score  $\leq 25$ 

The study was approved by the local IRB, and all participants or legal guardians signed an informed consent.

#### Biochemical and molecular investigations

Non-Cp-Cu was measured with a new CE certified test [C4D test, 2012 CE certified test code n. 1211662 ]. The upper reference limit (95%) for the healthy population has been set to 1.90 µmol/L [its 90%] confidence interval is equal to 1.78-2.06 ] and so this value has been taken as the cut-off for AD stratification.

#### SNPs genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood using a method based on an organic deproteinization reagent. The ATP7B and APOE SNPs were genotyped with one SNaPshot assay, according with the manufacturer's instruction with specific primers and evaluated on an ABI3100xl Genetic Analyzer. Statistical Analysis

Student's t-test and the chi-square ( $\chi$ 2) test were used to compare the characteristics of High Non-Cp-Cu AD and normal Non-Cp-Cu AD groups using the package SPSS 21.0. To account for multiple testing, we used the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Spectral Decomposition (SNPSpD) program to correct the significance threshold taking into account linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPs. Distribution of haplotypes was compared in normal and high Non-Cp-Cu AD groups with  $\chi^2$  test in HaploView and Plink. Permutation tests were used to correct multiple testing errors with 100,000 simulations. ORs and 95% CIs were computed for each haplotype and compared to the most common haplotype with Plink. Haplotypes with frequencies greater than 1% were considered.

p indicates t-test significance, and p<sup>1</sup> indicates  $\chi^2$  test non-parametric test significance.

#### **RESULTS**

Main demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients participating to this study are reported in Table 1. One-hundred-76 AD with high Non-Cp-Cu and 111 AD patients with normal Non-Cp-Cu AD were recruited.

The two AD subgroups did not differ for age, sex, MMSE score, APOE4 frequency allele, while they differed for Non-Cp-Cu concentrations in serum (Table 1), allele, genotype and haplotype frequencies of rs1061472 A>G and rs732774 C>T after multiple testing corrections (Table 2). AD patients with a GG genotype had a 1.76-fold higher risk of having a Non-Cp-Cu higher than 1.9  $\mu$ mol/L (p = 0.029), and those with a TT genotype for rs732774 C>T of 1.8-fold (p = 0.018; Table 2). rs1801243 A>C (Exon 2), rs1061472 A>G (Exon 10), and rs732774 C>T (Exon 12) were in Linkage Disequilibrium, with a high association among them (Figure 1), confirming previous reports and data reported for HapMap populations. After 100,000 permutations for multiple testing corrections, the haplotype containing the alleles AC resulted more frequent in AD patients with normal Non-Cp-Cu [43% vs. 33%; Pm = 0.03], while the haplotype containing the risk alleles GT was more frequent in the higher Non-Cp-Cu AD (66% vs. 55%; Pm= 0.01; **Table 3**). A second step of the study investigated whether the ATP7B variants under study could modulate the size of the Non-Cp-Cu pool. We classified all the AD under study in carriers or noncarriers of at least one allele of each ATP7B risk allele. The groups were compared for Non-Cp-Cu by ANOVA separately. While rs1801243 A>C (exon 2) had no effect on the size of the Non-Cp-Cu pool, carriers of at least one G in rs1061472 A>G (exon 10) and of one T in rs732774 C>T (exon 12) had increased values of Non-Cp-Cu (Table 4).

Table2: Allele and genotype distribution of ATP7B variants AD groups stratified for Non-Cp-Cu.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline \mbox{genetic} \\ \mbox{variant} & \mbox{High} & \mbox{Normal} & \mbox{Non-Cp-Cu} & \mbox{Non-Cp-Cu} & \mbox{Non-Cp-Cu} & \mbox{Non-Cp-Cu} & \mbox{Non-Cp-Cu} & \mbox{n=111} & \mbox{n=1111} & \mbox{n=1111} & \mbox{n=1111} & \mbox{n=1111} & \mbox$	ATP7B	AD patients,	AD patients, AD patients,		OR (95%CI)		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	genetic variant	High	Normal	( <b>X</b> 2)	p value		
rs1801243 A>CImage: sector of the sector of th	variarit	Non-Cp-Cu (n=176)	Non-Cp-Cu (n=111)				
Allele A n (%)       161 (45.7)       117 (52.7) $p= 0.10$ Allele C n (%)       191 (54.3)       105 (47.3)         AA n (%)       36 (20)       27 (24)         AC n (%)       89 (51)       63 (57) $p= 0.15$ CC n (%)       51 (29)       21 (19)       1.25 (0.71 - 2.20); $p= 0.44^1$ rs1061472 A>G $p= 0.15$ 1.25 (0.71 - 2.20); $p= 0.057^2$ Allele A n (%)       51 (29)       21 (19)         rs1061472 A>G $p= 0.01$ $p= 0.01$ Allele G n (%)       231 (65.6)       122 (55)         AA n (%)       20 (11)       22 (20)         AG n (%)       81 (46)       56 (50)         rs732774 C>T $p= 0.017$ Allele C n (%)       119 (33.8)       97 (43.7)         p= 0.017 $p= 0.017$ Allele C n (%)       233 (66.2)       125 (56.3)         CC n (%)       20 (11)       19 (17)         Allele T n (%)       233 (66.2)       125 (56.3)         CC n (%)       20 (11)       19 (17)         Allele T n (%)       20 (11)       19 (17)         Allele T n (%)       20 (11)       125 (56.3)         CC n (%)       20 (11)	rs1801243 A>C						
Allele C n (%)191 (54.3)105 (47.3)105AA n (%)36 (20)27 (24) $_{1.25} (0.71 - 2.20); p = 0.44^1$ AC n (%)89 (51)63 (57) $_{p}= 0.15$ $_{1.25} (0.71 - 2.20); p = 0.44^1$ AC n (%)51 (29)21 (19) $_{1.75} (0.98 - 3.11); p = 0.057^2$ CC n (%)51 (29)21 (19) $_{p}= 0.01$ $_{p}= 0.01$ Allele A n (%)121 (34.4)100 (45) $_{p}= 0.01$ $_{p}= 0.01$ Allele G n (%)231 (65.6)122 (55) $_{p}= 0.017$ $_{p}= 0.037$ Allele G n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p = 0.037$ $_{1.93} (0.99 - 3.73); p = 0.051^1$ AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p = 0.037$ $_{1.93} (0.99 - 3.73); p = 0.051^1$ GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30) $p = 0.017$ $_{1.93} (0.99 - 3.73); p = 0.029^2$ GG n (%)23 (66.2)125 (56.3) $p = 0.017$ $_{1.61} (0.82 - 3.18); p = 0.17^1$ Allele T n (%)20 (11)19 (17) $_{1.61} (0.82 - 3.18); p = 0.17^1$	Allele A n (%)	161 (45.7)	117 (52.7)	p= 0.10			
AA n (%)36 (20)27 (24) $P = 0.15$ $1.25 (0.71 - 2.20); p = 0.44^1$ AC n (%)89 (51)63 (57) $p = 0.15$ $1.25 (0.71 - 2.20); p = 0.44^1$ CC n (%)51 (29)21 (19) $1.75 (0.98 - 3.11); p = 0.057^2$ rs1061472 A>GP $0.01$ $p = 0.01$ Allele A n (%)121 (34.4)100 (45) $p = 0.01$ Allele G n (%)231 (65.6)122 (55) $p = 0.01$ AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p = 0.037$ GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30) $p = 0.037$ rs732774 C>T $1.93 (0.99 - 3.73); p = 0.051^1$ Allele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7)P = 0.017 $p = 0.017$ Allele T n (%)203 (66.2)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)Allele T n (%)20 (11)19 (17)OT1.61 (0.82 - 3.18); p = 0.17^1	Allele C n (%)	191 (54.3)	105 (47.3)	•			
AC n (%)89 (51)63 (57) $p= 0.15$ CC n (%)51 (29)21 (19) $1.75 (0.98 - 3.11); p= 0.057^2$ rs1061472 A>G $p= 0.01$ $1.75 (0.98 - 3.11); p= 0.057^2$ Allele A n (%)121 (34.4)100 (45) $p= 0.01$ Allele G n (%)231 (65.6)122 (55) $p= 0.01$ AA n (%)20 (11)22 (20) $p= 0.037$ AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p= 0.037$ GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30) $1.93 (0.99 - 3.73); p= 0.051^1$ rs732774 C>T $rs732774 C>T$ $rs732774 C>T$ Allele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7)P= 0.017 $p= 0.017$ Allele T n (%)233 (66.2)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)Allele T n (%)20 (11)19 (17)CT n (%)20 (11)19 (17)Allele T n (%)20 (11)50 (50)CT n (%)75 (45)50 (50)	AA n (%)	36 (20)	27 (24)		1.25 (0.71 – 2.20); p= 0.44 <sup>1</sup>		
CC n (%)51 (29)21 (19)21 (19)rs1061472 A>G121 (34.4)100 (45) $p= 0.01$ Allele A n (%)121 (34.4)100 (45) $p= 0.01$ Allele G n (%)231 (65.6)122 (55) $p= 0.01$ AA n (%)20 (11)22 (20) $p= 0.037$ AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p= 0.037$ GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30) $1.93 (0.99 - 3.73); p= 0.051^1$ rs732774 C>T	AC n (%)	89 (51)	63 (57)	p= 0.15	1.75 (0.98 – 3.11); p= 0.057 <sup>2</sup>		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CC n (%)	51 (29)	21 (19)				
Allele A n (%)121 (34.4)100 (45) $p= 0.01$ Allele G n (%)231 (65.6)122 (55)AA n (%)20 (11)22 (20)AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p= 0.037$ 1.93 (0.99 - 3.73); p= 0.0511AG n (%)75 (43)33 (30)rs732774 C>TAllele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7) $p= 0.017$ Allele T n (%)233 (66.2)20 (11)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)1.61 (0.82 - 3.18); p=0.171	rs1061472 A>G						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Allele A n (%)	121 (34.4)	100 (45)	p= 0.01			
AA n (%)20 (11)22 (20) $p=0.037$ 1.93 (0.99 - 3.73); $p=0.051^1$ AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p=0.037$ 1.76 (1.06-2.91); $p=0.029^2$ GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30) $p=0.017$ rs732774 C>TAllele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7) $p=0.017$ Allele T n (%)233 (66.2)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)1.61 (0.82 - 3.18); $p=0.17^1$	Allele G n (%)	231 (65.6)	122 (55)				
AG n (%)81 (46)56 (50) $p= 0.037$ GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30)1.76 (1.06-2.91); $p= 0.029^2$ rs732774 C>TAllele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7)Allele C n (%)233 (66.2)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)1.61 (0.82 - 3.18); $p=0.17^1$	AA n (%)	20 (11)	22 (20)	0.007	1.93 (0.99 – 3.73); p= 0.051 <sup>1</sup>		
GG n (%)75 (43)33 (30)Image: second	AG n (%)	81 (46)	56 (50)	p= 0.037	1.76 (1.06-2.91); p= 0.029 <sup>2</sup>		
rs732774 C>TAllele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7) $p= 0.017$ $p= 0.017$ Allele T n (%)233 (66.2)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)1.61 (0.82 - 3.18); p=0.17 <sup>1</sup>	GG n (%)	75 (43)	33 (30)				
Allele C n (%)119 (33.8)97 (43.7) $p= 0.017$ Allele T n (%)233 (66.2)125 (56.3)CC n (%)20 (11)19 (17)Allele T n (%)10 (17)	rs732774 C>T						
Allele T n (%)       233 (66.2)       125 (56.3)         CC n (%)       20 (11)       19 (17)         Allele T n (%)       20 (11)       19 (17)	Allele C n (%)	119 (33.8)	97 (43.7)	p= 0.017			
<b>CC n (%)</b> 20 (11) 19 (17) 1.61 (0.82 – 3.18); p=0.17 <sup>1</sup>	Allele T n (%)	233 (66.2)	125 (56.3)				
	CC n (%)	20 (11)	19 (17)		1.61 (0.82 – 3.18); p=0.17 <sup>1</sup>		
Cl n (%) 79 (45) 59 (53) $p=0.047$ 1.84 (1.11 - 3.44); $p=0.018^2$	CT n (%)	79 (45)	59 (53)	p=0.047	1.84 (1.11 - 3.44); p= 0.018 <sup>2</sup>		

#### Figure. 1

 
 Table 3: Frequency and Associations between Haplotypes of ATP7B and copper
 dyshomeostasis risk in AD

#### I I II (70) 11 (44) 33 (30)

OR (95% CI) Pm

1 Dominant Model (wt/mut + mut/mut vs wt/wt). 2 Recessive model (mut/mut vs wt/mut + wt/wt). A p value < 0.03 is considered significant according to multiple test correction, according to Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Spectral Decomposition (SNPSpD)

> Table 4: ATP7B modulation of the size of the Non-Cp-Cu pool
>  in AD patients. Carriers of the risk allele/genotype at each SNP were compared for Non-Cp-Cu levels

ATP7B genetic variant	n. of AD carriers (%)	Non-Cp-Cu (µmol/L) mean (SD)	p value (x²)
rs1061472 A>G			
Non-carriers of G	42 (14.6)	1.94 (0.46)	E(1, 295) = 5, 954
allele	245 (85.4)	2.17 (0.61)	p=0.016
At least one G allele			
AA genotype	42 (14.6)	1.94 (0.46)	
AG genotype	137 (47.7)	2.16 (0.61)	F(2,284) = 3.022; p= 0.05
GG genotype	108 (37.7)	2.19 (0.61)	
rs732774 C>T			
Non-carriers of T	39 (13.6)	1.94 (0.48)	F(1, 285) = 5,080
anere	248 (86.4)	2.17 (0.61)	p=0.025
At least one T allele			
CC genotype	39 (13.6)	1.94 (0.48)	
CT genotype	138 (48.1)	2.15 (0.61)	F(2,284) = 2.814;
TT genotype	110 (38.3)	2.20 (0.61)	μ- 0.002

A p value < 0.03 is considered significant according to multiple test correction, according to SNPSpD

ATP7BEXON12 -	ATP7BEXON10	ATP7BEXON2		
Block 1 (2 99	24 kb) 2 93	4		P7B Haplotypes
<b>Figure 1</b> A>C (Ex rs106147 10), and	: rs180 on 2), 2 A>G rs7327	1243 (Exon 74 C>T		A
(Exon 12 linkage d (LD), wit associatio	) were isequil th a hig on amo	in ibrium sh ng them	1.	At At ha

**CONCLUSION** 

# rs1801243 rs1061472 rs732774 AD patients AD patients p value

12		(A>C)	(A>G)	(C>T)	High Non-Cp-Cu	Normal Non- Cp-Cu	-		value
7BEXON		С	G	Т	0.53	0.45	Reference	Reference	0.07
ATP7		A	A	С	0.33	0.43	0.026	1.55 (1.06 - 2.27)	0.03
3	types	A	G	Т	0.12	0.09	0.71	0.90 (0.50 - 1.61)	0.61
	Haplo	С	A	С	0.010	0.011	0.93	1.09 (0.18 - 6.62)	1
	m								
	ATP7		G	Т	0.66	0.55	Reference	Reference	0.01
243			A	С	0.34	0.43	0.015	1.57 (1.09 - 2.24)	0.024
Exon - C>T			A	Т	0.006	0.018	0.13	3.75 (0.67 - 21.15)	0.26

fter 100,000 permutations for multiple test corrections, four haplotypes with a frequency higher than 1% resulted from the TP7B rs1801243 (A>C), rs1061472 (A>G) and rs732774 (C>T) in Linkage Disequilibrium considering a three SNP aplotype block analysis. When considering a two SNP haplotype block [from rs1061472 (A>G) to rs732774 (C>T)], three haplotypes resulted (gray).Pm values are obtained by 100,000 Permutation multiple (Pm) for multiple tests correction. A p value < 0.03 is considered significant according to multiple test correction, according to Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Spectral Decomposition (SNPSpD)

High Non-Cp-Cu AD patients had increased frequencies of certain ATP7B genetic variants than Normal Non-Cp-Cu AD. This comparison for demographic, clinical, biological or genetic variables after the stratification for a specific copper biomarker identifies a subtype of disease, as recently discussed, providing an independent replication of the results of a previous study. These results sustain the existence of genotype/phenotype correlation for a copper metabolic endophenotype in AD patients. Even though copper dysfunction cannot be assumed as the determinant of the disease, its causative, rather than associated, role in AD pathology can be claimed, in terms of a risk factor of the disease, which can be counteracted by means of specific strategies.

