

Gene expression modulation induced by fingolimod in relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis

Federica Esposito^{1,2}, Elisabetta Mascia^{1,} Paolo Provero^{3,4}, Laura Ferrè^{1,2}, Ferdinando Clarelli¹, Giacomo Sferruzza^{1,2}, Clara Guaschino^{1,2}, Marta Radaelli², Lucia Moiola², Bruno Colombo², Vittorio Martinelli², Giancarlo Comi^{1,2}, Filippo Martinelli Boneschi^{1,2}

1-Laboratory of Human Genetics of Neurological Disorders, CNS Inflammatory Unit & INSPE, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan. (Italy), 2-Department of Neurology, San Raffaele Scientific Institute - Milan (Italy), 3-Center for Translational genomics and BioInformatics, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, (Italy), 4-University of Turin, Turin, (Italy).

INTRODUCTION

Fingolimod (FTY) is an oral treatment approved for relapsing-remitting (RR) multiple sclerosis (MS)¹. Fingolimod is a modulator of sphingosine-1phosphate receptor (S1PR). The interaction between receptor and drug cause the internalization and degradation of receptor and lead to the sequestration of lymphocyte into the lymph nodes².

Very few gene expression experiments have been performed at whole genome level to assess the transcriptional changes induced by the treatment.

In this study we want investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying FTY action, by looking at transcriptional alterations induced by the treatment in whole blood.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patients enrolment:

We enrolled in the study adult RRMS patients, who started FTY at San Raffaele Hospital MS center. Patients were sampled before treatment start and after 6-months of therapy. In order to avoid confounding effects related to previous therapies, we excluded from the study subjects with history of relapses or corticosteroid treatment in the month before FTY, patients treated with interferon, BG-12, teriflunomide or immunosuppressants in the 3 months before and patients treated with Natalizumab in the year before FTY start.

Gene Expression:

RNA from whole blood was extracted from PAXgene blood tube using PAXgene Blood miRNA Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA quality control was performed by means of concentration estimation using the NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer. We also performed an agarose gel to evaluate the integrity of RNA and to verify that there was no contamination with genomic DNA.

The genome expression profiling was assessed using the Illumina® HumanHT-12v4.0 Expression BeadChips. Samples preparation was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. Beadchips were imaged using the Illumina iScan and the fluorescent hybridization signals were assessed using Illumina GenomeStudio software.

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified using Limma³ software, including white blood cell and lymphocyte counts as covariates. As additional tool, we used the CellMix⁴ R package to deconvolute the

Enrichment Analysis:

The lists of differential expressed genes (DEGs) were analysed in term of pathway enrichment according to Gene Ontology.

RESULTS

Patients:

We analysed whole-blood gene expression data for 24 patients treated with FTY. Table 1 reports the demographic and clinical characteristics.

Differential expression analysis:

Given the particular mechanism of action of the drug, the lymphocyte count at six-months follow up is significantly lower compared to baseline. For this reason, we had to introduce into the model as covariate the logarithm of white blood cells count and lymphocyte count. We found 478 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) at a 15% FDR (Experimental DEGs). Table 2 reports the top 10 DEGs.

We also used the CellMix package to deconvolute the expression data according to cell types, based on a sample signature tool that is able to estimate the fraction of different cells type starting from whole blood. The proportion of significantly changed cell types was used as covariate in the analysis of gene expression. For this analysis, the best obtainable FDR is ~35%; we obtained 135 up-regulated and 181 down-regulated genes. Table 3 and Table 4 report respectively the top 10 up-regulated and the top 10 down-regulated genes, with their nominal p-value.

When comparing the DEGs found with the two approaches (experimental vs. deconvoluted data) we observed a significant overlap for the downregulated genes, but no overlap was found for the up-regulated genes.



Characteristics	<u>Value</u>
Female:Male	18:6
Age at treatment start, years (range)	39.9 (28.5 - 64.3)
Median EDSS at treatment start, (range)	2.0 (1.0-4.5)
Disease duration, years	10±7





Table 2: Top10 DEGs	– Experimental o	lata		Table 3: Top 10 Up regulated genes – Deconvoluted data			Table 4: Top 10 Down regulated genes – Deconvoluted data				Figure	
Probe ID	<u>Gene</u> <u>symbol</u>	Log Fold Change	<u>Adjusted</u> <u>p-value</u>	Probe ID	<u>Gene symbol</u>	Log Fold Change	<u>p-value</u>	<u>Probe ID</u>	<u>Gene symbol</u>	Log Fold Change	<u>p-value</u>	decom
ILMN_1763487	CTLA4	-2.399	0.0002583	ILMN_1845475		1.494	0.0001423	ILMN_1726928	TCEA3	-2.069	1,31E-02	
ILMN_1745112	FAM102A	-2.53	0.0002583	ILMN_1674098	SORBS2	1.185	0.0001974	ILMN_1725417	NELL2	-2.348	2,12E-02	
ILMN_2383058	SIRPG	-2.651	0.0004115	ILMN_3303979	LOC729177	1.901	0.0002081	ILMN_1752502	HKDC1	-1.709	5,09E-02	
ILMN_1676470	TCF7	-2.354	0.0008345	ILMN_1736300	SEMA5B	1.154	0.0002403	ILMN_2198878	INPP4B	-1.481	6,39E-02	
ILMN_1715131	CCR7	-4.778	0.0008345	ILMN_1668460	PRTN3	1.831	0.000307	ILMN_2116827	RGPD1	-1.94	9,32E-02	Fxn
ILMN_2264011	GRAP	-1.788	0.0008345	ILMN_1705035	FBXL7	1.376	0.0003395	ILMN_1898453		-1.613	0.0001603	
ILMN_1726928	TCEA3	-2.266	0.00102	ILMN_1917315		1.37	0.0004259	ILMN_1728132	LDHB	-1.425	0.000185	
ILMN_2213136	LEF1	-3.714	0.001734	ILMN_1720373	SLC7A5	1.821	0.0004352	ILMN_1763487	CTLA4	-1.754	0.0001922	
ILMN_2401779	FAM102A	-2.51	0.001734	ILMN_1740784	LOC390829	1.038	0.0006834	ILMN_1755862	PFAS	-1.408	0.0001965	
ILMN_1770768	SLAMF1	-2.234	0.003257	ILMN_3238797	FAM72A	1.086	0.0008861	ILMN_1793870	IL1RAP	-1.497	0.0001996	

Figure 2: Overlap of experimental and deconvoluted down-regulated genes



N<mark>S</mark>D@

Enrichment Analysis:

For the enrichment analysis, both experimental and deconvoluted DEGs list were analysed in terms of GeneOntology enrichment.

In Table 5, 6, 7 and 8 the top significant categories for the "Biological Process" ontology are reported.

Table 5: Top Biological processes enriched in Up-regulated genes – Experimental data

<u>Term</u>	Odds Ratio	<u>p-value</u>	Expected count	<u>Count</u>	<u>Size</u>
Oxalate transport	68.84	0.0006171	0.0378	2	10
Sulfate transmembrane transport	68.84	0.0006171	0.0378	2	10
Inorganic ion transmembrane transport	4.774	0.0006545	1.966	8	520
Sulfate transport	55.06	0.0009007	0.04536	2	12
Cellular response to epinephrine stimulus	55.06	0.0009007	0.04536	2	12

Table 6: Top Biological processes enriched in Down-regulated genes – Experimental data

<u>Term</u>	Odds Ratio	<u>p-value</u>	Expected count	<u>Count</u>	<u>Size</u>
Lymphocyte activation	4.21	1.98E-10	9.326	33	543
Leukocyte activation	3.581	7.90E-09	10.79	33	628
Adaptive immune response	4.785	1.63E-08	5.307	22	309
Regulation of immune system process	2.738	1.74E-08	22.02	50	1282
Regulation of lymphocyte activation	4.571	1.77E-08	5.805	23	338
Cell activation	3.075	4.85E-08	14.07	37	819

CONCLUSIONS

FTY treatment appears to induce a down-regulation of genes involved in lymphocyte activation and immune response.

These data could be driven by FTY mechanism of action, although we took into account the drug effect on lymphocyte count at the analytical level, by including the lymphocyte count as covariate and also by applying deconvolution tools.

On the contrary, there is less overlap between the list of pathways up-regulated by FTY according to experimental and deconvoluted data, pointing to more complex mechanism which need to be confirmed in additional cohort of

Table 7: Top Biological processes enriched in Up-regulated genes – Deconvoluted data

<u>Term</u>	Odds Ratio	<u>p-value</u>	Expected count	<u>Count</u>	<u>Size</u>
Porphyrin-containing compound biosynthetic process	33.07	1.43E-05	0.1519	4	24
Tetrapyrrole biosynthetic process	28.75	2.33E-05	0.1708	4	27
Porphyrin-containing compound metabolic process	22.79	5.28E-05	0.2088	4	33
Cofactor biosynthetic process	7.899	0.0001991	0.8479	6	134
Regulation of mitochondrial electron transport, NADH to ubiquinone	161.2	0.0002352	0.02531	2	4

Table 8: Top Biological processes enriched in Down-regulated genes – Deconvoluted genes

<u>Term</u>	Odds Ratio	<u>p-value</u>	Expected count	<u>Count</u>	<u>Size</u>
Lymph node development	50.69	2.54E-07	0.1486	5	16
Lymphocyte differentiation	4.893	4.25E-05	2.516	11	271
Leukocyte differentiation	3.951	7.77E-05	3.686	13	397
Biological regulation	2.528	8.82E-05	78.22	96	8424
Hematopoietic or lymphoid organ development	3.185	0.0001021	6.054	17	652
Lymphocyte activation	3.342	0.0001447	5.042	15	543

REFERENCES

- 1. Noseworthy, J. H., Lucchinetti, C., Rodriguez, M. & Weinshenker, B. G. Multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* **343**, 938-952, doi:10.1056/NEJM200009283431307 (2000).
- Lublin, F. et al. Oral fingolimod in primary progressive multiple sclerosis (INFORMS): a phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 387, 1075-1084, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01314-8 (2016).
- 3. Du, P., Kibbe, W. A. & Lin, S. M. lumi: a pipeline for processing Illumina microarray. Bioinformatics 24, 1547-1548, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn224 (2008).
- Gaujoux, R. & Seoighe, C. CellMix: a comprehensive toolbox for gene expression deconvolution. Bioinformatics 29, 2211-2212, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt351 (2013).

DISCLOSURES

F. Esposito received honoraria from TEVA and Merck. L. Moiola received honoraria for speaking at meetings or for attending to advisory board from Sanofi-Genzyme, Biogen-Idec, Novartis and TEVA. B. Colombo received travel grant from Biogen-Idec,

