THROMBECTOMY IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE: STENTRIEVER EXPERIENCE IN MODENA HOSPITAL

Assenza F^{1,3}, Bigliardi G¹, Vandelli L¹, Dell'Acqua ML¹, Picchetto L¹, Rosafio F¹, Verganti L², Menetti F², Moratti C², Saia V⁴, Pracucci G⁴, Toni D⁵, Mangiafico S⁵, Nichelli P¹, Carpeggiani P², Vallone S^{2,5}, Di Lazzaro V³, Zini A^{1,4}, on behalf the Italian Registry of Endovascular Treatment in Acute Stroke



1 Stroke Unit - Dept. of Neuroscience, Nuovo Ospedale Civile "S.Agostino-Estense", AUSL Modena 2 Neuroradiology Unit - Dept. of Neuroscience, Nuovo Ospedale Civile "S.Agostino-Estense", AUSL Modena 3 Dept. of Neurology - Policlinico Universitario Campus Biomedico, Roma 4 Data management and coordination unit of the Italian Registry of Endovascular Treatment in Acute Stroke **5** Steering Committee of the Italian Registry of Endovascular Treatment in Acute Stroke



Background

Endovascular treatment (ET) in ischemic stroke patients produces high rate of recanalization and may improve good outcome in patients with documented large vessel occlusions.

Material and methods

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of Endovascular

we analysed acute ischemic stroke patients admitted at the Nuovo Ospedale Civile S. Agostino-Estense in Modena in the period 2008-2014 and underwent to endovascular therapy¹. All patients were registered in the Italian Registry of Endovascular Treatment in Acute Stroke². Efficacy measures were arterial recanalization (TICI 2b-3), and 3-month functional outcome (mRS) and mortality.

Results

in the period 2008-2014 we treated 238 patients with ET. We analysed 222 patients with completed 3-month follow-up, excluding 7 not treated patients and 9 not occluded patients at the angiography. Since 2008 patients are increasingly selected with advanced neuroimaging, including brain CT, CT angiography, and CT perfusion. Baseline characteristics are reported in table 1. Intraarterial drugs were given to 95 patients (42.8%), see Fig. 1. The ET modality could enclose intraarterial thrombolysis or mechanical approach or both. Mechanical approach was defined as mechanical thrombectomy (use of microwires and microcatheters, 1st) generation stentrievers, thromboaspiration, extracranial ICA stenting, 2nd generation stentrievers). The subgroup of mechanical thrombectomy with use of 2nd generation stentrievers was defined 'stentriever group' (n=134) and compared with 'non stentriever group (n=88), including intra-arterial thrombolysis, and other mechanical modalities.

In the thrombectomy group (n=201) 134 patients (66.7%) were treated with 2nd generation stentriever (in order of use: Trevo[®], Solitaire[®], Mindframe Capture[®], Revive[®], Penumbra Separator 3D[®], Aperio[®], Catch[®], Eric[®]). In only 4 cases 2 different stentrievers were used during the same ET.

Characteristics and outcome measures of the comparison 'stentriever group' versus 'non stentriever group' were reported in Table 2 and Figure 2. Logistic regression analysis showed lower age (p=0.000), lower baseline NIHSS (p=0.007), and reperfusion (0.001) as independent factors for good outcome.

Baseline characteristics	🔽 Endovascular treatment (n=222) 🔽		Stentriever group' (n=134)	🔽 Non stentriever group' (n=88) 🔽 р 🔽	60		
Age Median	71.7	Age Median	71.1	72.0	ns	60	mechai	
< 60	48 (21.6%)	< 60	31 (23.1%)	17 (19.3%)	ns		in contai	
60 - 70	50 (22.5%)	60 - 70	31 (23.1%)	19 (21.6%)	ns	50	both	
70 - 80	87 (39.2%)	70 - 80	48 (35.8%)	39 (44.3%)	ns	50		
> 80	37 (16.7%)	> 80	24 (17.9%)	13 (14.8%)	ns		IA thro	
Gender n (%)		Gender n (%)	70 (52 20/)	25 (20, 8%)				
F	105 (47.3%)	F M	70 (52.2%)	35 (39.8%)	ns	40		
M	117 (52.7%)	baseline NIHSS Median	<u>64 (47.8%)</u> 17	53 (60.2% 17	ns			
		Previous IVT n (%)	65 (48.5%)	33 (37.9%)	ns			
baseline NIHSS Median	17	Use of IA drugs	43 (32%)	52 (59.1%)	0.0001	30		
CT perfusion mismatch + (MTT/CBV >		Onset To Groin puncture (hours) Median	4.7	4.5	ns			
Previous IVT <i>n</i> (%)	98 (44.3%)	Onset To Reperfusion (hours) Median	6.6	6.7	ns			
	38 (44.3%)	Site of Occlusion <i>n</i> (%)			0.001	20 -		
Onset To Groin Puncture Needle (hours) <i>N</i> 4.5		M1 58 (43.3%)		20 (23%)				
Onset To Groin Puncture Needle (nours) //4.5Onset To Reperfusion (hours) Median6.6		M2	12 (9%)	14 (16.1%)				
		T occlusion / siphon 27 (20.1%)		10 (11.5%)		10 +		
		extracranial ICA 22 (16.4%) 21 (24.1%)			10			
		Vertebro-basilar	15 (11.2%)	22 (25.3%)				
Site of Occlusion <i>n</i> (%)		OUTCOME						
M1	78 (35.3%)	Reperfusion (TICI)			ns	0 -		
M2	26 (11.8%)	TICI 2b-3 n (%)	104 (77.6%)	62 (70.4%)			2008 20	
T occlusion / siphon 37 (16.7%)		ТІСІ						
extracranial ICA 44 (19.5%) Vertebro-basilar 37 (16.7%)		0	7 (5.2%)	4 (4.5%)		FIg	Fig 1. End	
		1	7 (5.2%)	5 (5.7%)			-	
		2a	16 (11.9%)	17 (19.4%)				
OUTCOME		2b	28 (20.9%)	21 (23.8%)				
Reperfusion (TICI)		3	76 (56.7%)	41 (46.6%)				
TICI 2b-3 n (%)	167 (75.1%)	modified Rankin Scale at 3 months (%)	419/	200/				
TICI		mRS 0-2 Mortality at 3 months <i>n</i> (%)	41% 17.9%	36% 19.8%	ns			
0	11 (5%)	COMPLICATIONS	17.9%	19.8%	ns			
1	12 (5.4%)	Symptomatic Hemorrhage (sICH) %	11.3%	5.9%	ns			
2a	32 (14.5%)	SAH /dissection %	6.7%	4.6%	ns			
2b	49 (22.2%)	-						
20		Fig 2. mRS at 3 months: comparison 'stentriever group' vs vs 'non stentriever gro				'auc		
J modified Dankin Scale at 2 menths //	118 (52.9%)							
modified Rankin Scale at 3 months (9)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	modified Bankin Searce at 2 months						
mRS 0-1	24.1%	modified Rankin Score at 3 months						
mRS 0-2	39.1%		mRS 0	mRS 1	mRS :	3	mRS 4	
mRS								
0	22 (10%)							
1	31 (14.1%)	'Non stentriever group' 8		12 11 17		16		
2	33 (15%)	(n=88	3)					
3	28 (12.7%)							
4	34 (15.5%)							
5	31 (14.1%)							
Mortality at 3 months <i>n</i> (%)	42 (18.6%)	'Stentrie	ever group' 14	19 22	13	18		
COMPLICATIONS		(n=13	54)					
Symptomatic Hemorrhage (sICH) %	9.2%							
	5.9%		0% 10%	20% 30% 40%	50%	60%	% 709	

Table 2. Characteristics and outcome measures: comparison 'stentriever group' vs 'non stentriever group'



dovascular treatment modality



Conclusion

A national-based ET registry may provide a real-world view of safety and efficacy of ET procedures and of patient outcomes. It could improve our understanding on the appropriate selection of patients for ET, and hopefully it will allow to develop suitable treatment algorithms for a specific kind of patient. Stentrievers in ET may be useful and safe in acute ischemic patients as demonstrated in recent RCTs. In our ET open cohort in Modena the use of 2nd generation stentriever was constantly growing in last years and to date it is the current treatment of choice in ET, with or without thromboaspiration.



1- Menetti F, Verganti L, Zini A, Vallone S, Carpeggiani P, Andersson T. Intra-arterial therapy as a rescue strategy after clinically failed intravenous thrombolysis may increase the likelihood of a good outcome in patients with severe

ischaemic stroke. A retrospective two centre study. Interv Neuroradiol. 2014 May-Jun;20(3):329-35.

2- Mangiafico S, Pracucci G, Saia V, Nencini P, Inzitari D, Nappini S, Vallone S, Zini et al. The Italian Registry of Endovascular Treatment in Acute Stroke: rationale, design and baseline features of patients. Neurol Sci. 2015 Jan 8.