Impact of sleep characteristics on quality of life of patients with multiple sclerosis.



Giancarlo Coghe, Micaela Porta, Giuseppina Pilloni, Federica Corona, Jessica Frau, Giuseppe Fenu, Lorena Lorefice, Maria Giovanna Marrosu, Massimiliano Pau and Eleonora Cocco

Background

Sleep disorders are highly prevalent in Multiple Sclerosis (MS), one third of patient assume sleep medication 2-3 time/week and 50% report sleep problems. Therefore refining the sleep characteristics in terms of quantity and quality is a relevant topic in MS.

Aims

To evaluate the characteristics of MS patients and their impact on health related quality of life.

Materials and methods

A group of MS patients and a matched control group (HC) were enrolled. Sleep quantity and quality were evaluated by actigraphy. Participants wore an accelerometer (Actigraph GTX3+) on the non-dominant wrist over seven consecutive days. Sleep was scored using the Cole-Kripke algorithm. Output parameters were: total sleep time (TST), sleep latency (SLAT: time from when "the lights were turned off" to the onset of sleep), sleep efficiency (SEFF: number of sleep minutes divided by number of minutes in bed), sleep fragmentation index (SFI: total number of <1minute sleep divided by the number of sleep bouts), wake after sleep onset (WASO: defined as number of minutes awake between sleep and "lights on") and number of awakenings (NOA). Other aspects evaluated were the health-related quality of life assessed by the 5-level EuroQol 5, fatigue assessed by MFIS, physical activity assessed by IPAQ and daily step count, disability assessed by EDSS and twelve item walking scale MSWS-12.

Differences between sleep parameters of MS patients and HC were evaluated by T test for independent samples.

Relationship between sleep parameters, disability, quality of life and physical activity were evaluated bymeans of Pearson correlation.

Results

A sample of 39 patient (14 male, 25 female , mean age 46.6 (SD±11.3), mean EDSS 2.8 (SD±2.0)) and 43 HC (19 male, 24 female mean age 47.3 (SD±12.9)) was enrolled.

TST differ between MS and HC (p=0,016), when considering the 17 MS patient with EDSS >2,5 also NOA differs with respect to the HC (p= 0.002).

The Pearson correlation showed a relationship between WASO (r=0.309 p=0.05), SFI (r= 0.381 p=0.017), NOA (r=0.380 p=0.017) and 5-level EuroQol 5. When considering patient with EDSS>2,5 TST (r=0.545 p=0.02) and SFI (r=0.491 p=0.04) were also related to the EDSS.



P value	0.632	0.017	0.016	0.744	0.202	0.977	0.833	0.677	0.989

T test between sleep parameters of 39 MS and 43 HC

	Total Minutes in Bed	Wake After Sleep Onset WASO	Number of Awakenings	Average Awakening Length	Sleep Fragmentation Index	Movement Index	Fragmentation Index	
P value	0,049	0,243	0,028	0,496	0,53	0,207	0,963	

T test between sleep parameters of MS patients with EDSS>2.5 and 43 HC

	Total Minutes in Bed		Total Sleep Time TST		Wake After Sleep Onset WASO		Number of Awakenings		Average Awakening Length		Sleep Fragmentation Index		Movement Index		Fragmentation Index	
EQ5D	Rho	p value	Rho	p value	Rho	p value	Rho	p value	Rho	p value	Rho	p value	Rho	p value	Rho	p value
	0.197	,229	0.151	,360	0.309	,049	0.381	,017	-0.147	0.371	,380	,017	,300	,063	,333	,038

Pearson correlation between quality of life and sleep parameters

Conclusion

Overall our data point out that MS patient sleep less than HC. Moreover sleep quality decreases when considering patient with higher disability. Even in our sample mostly composed by patients with mild/low disability sleep quality moderately impact the perceived quality of

