Speech and voice response to a levodopa challenge in late-stage Parkinson's disease patients

Margherita Fabbri¹,MD, Isabel Guimarães², SLT, PhD, Miguel Coelho^{2,3}, MD, PhD, Cardoso R⁴, SLT, Leonor Correia Guedes^{2,3}, MD, Mario M. Rosa^{2,3,5}, MD, PhD, Catarina Godinho^{2,7}, Angelo Antonini, MD, PhD⁶, Joaquim J Ferreira, MD, PhD^{2,4,5}

¹Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Portugal, ²Department of Speech Therapy, Escola superior de Saúde de Alcoitão, Estoril, Portugal; ³Department of Neurosciences, Hospital Santa Maria, Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal; ⁴Campus Neurológico Sénior, Torres Vedras, Portugal; ⁵Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lisbon, Portugal ⁶Fondazione Ospedale San Camillo"-I.R.C.C.S., Parkinson and Movement Disorders Unit, Venice, Italy; ⁷Center for Interdisciplinary Research Egas Moniz (CiiEM), Instituto Superior de Ciências da Saúde Egas Moniz, Monte de Caparica, Portugal.



BACKGROUND

Parkinson's disease (PD) patients are affected by hypokinetic dysarthria, characterized by hypophonia and dysprosody, which worsens with disease progression. Speech disorders affect nearly 90% of PD patients and have a negative impact on quality of life. Levodopa's (L-dopa) effect on quality of speech is inconclusive; no data are currently available for late-stage PD (LSPD).

OBJECTIVE

To assess the modifications of speech and voice in LSPD following an acute L-dopa challenge.

METHODS

- ❖ LSPD patients (Schwab and England <50/Hoehn Yahr >3 [MED ON]) performed several vocal tasks before and after an acute L-dopa challenge;
- The following was assessed: a) respiratory support for speech (time duration of vowel /a/ prolongation); b) voice quality (fundamental frequency [F0]); c) voice stability (pitch break time, and jitter); d) voice variability (standard deviation [SD] of speaking F0 during sentences [Sentence F0SD]); e) speech rate (syllables/sec); f) motor performance (MDS-UPDRS-III) and mAIMS.
- All voice samples were recorded and analyzed by a speech and language therapist blinded to patients' therapeutic condition using Praat 5.1 software.

RESULTS

Patients

- > 24/27 (14 men) LSPD patients succeeded in performing voice tasks;
- ➤ Median age and disease duration of patients was 79 [IQR: 71.5-81.7] and 14.5 [IQR: 11-15.7] years, respectively;
- There were no differences in demographic or clinical variables between men and women (Table 1). Indeed, they presented similar MDS-UPDRS II-III-IV scores, axial signs score, S&E and HY stages, although women had a slightly, but not statistically significant, worse HY stage.

Dationto data	LCDD (n = 24)	LSPD	LSPD	p -
Patients data	LSPD (n= 24)	MALE (n=14)	4) FEMALE (n=10)	
Age (yrs)	79 [71.5-81.7]	77.5 [70.7-81.2]	79 [73.5-85]	ns
Age at disease onset (yrs)	64.5 [54.5-69.5]	62.5 [55-67]	65 [51.5-71.5]	ns
Disease duration	14.5 [11-15.7]	13.5 [8.7-17]	15 [11.7-17.2]	ns
Education (yrs)	4 [4-11]	4 [4-12]	5 [4-10.5]	ns
S&E (ON/OFF)	40/35 [40-40.7 / 22.5-40]	40/30 [40-40/ 40-40]	40/30 [27-50 / 17.5-50]	ns
HY (ON/OFF)	4 [2-4] / 4 [2-4.75]	3 [2-4] / 3 [2-4]	4 [4-5] /4 [4-5]	ns
PDD (n (%))	14 (58%)	10 (71%)	4 (40%)	ns
MMSE	22.5 [21.2-25]	22.5 [22-24.2]	22.5 [16-27.2]	ns
MMSE (demented/non-	22 [17-23.7] / 25 [23-26.7]	22 [21.7-24.2] / 23 [22.2-	17 [13-19.5] / 27 [25-28.5]	
demented)		25.2]		
LEDD (mg)	1037 [902-1272]	1100 [990-1303]	905 [742-1257]	ns
MDS-UPDRS-II	31 [27-38]	32 [29.2 – 38.5]	30 [20.5-38]	ns
MDS-UPDRS-III (MED	50 [40-54]/64 [52-77]	50 [42.5-55.2]/61[53-76]	50 [37.5-62.5] /64 [48-79.5]	ns
ON/MED OFF				
Axial sign (MED ON/MED	8 [6-13] /10 [7-13]	8 [6-13]/10 [7-13.2]	8 [6.5-12]/ 10 [7-13.5]	ns
OFF				
MDS-UPDRS-IV	4 [2-9.5]	5 [2-8.5]	4 [0-11.2]	ns

Table 1. Values are presented as median [IQR, 25th–75th percentile] if no otherwise specified; ns: not significant. LEDD: L-dopa equivalent daily dose; PDD: Parkinson's disease with dementia; MMSE: mini mental state examination. S&E: Schwab and England score; HY: Hoehn Yahr Stage; ns: non-significant; P value is the results for male vs. female scores' comparison.

Baseline voice and speech characteristics

- ➤ No differences were found between men and women for breath support and voice stability at baseline;
- ➤In MED OFF, respiratory breath support and pitch break time of LSPD patients were worse than the normative values of non-parkinsonian (Table 2).
- Mean **jitter** values were in the normal range (Table 2), although results were borderline for men and SD showed a tendency for higher values.
- ➤ FOSD was in the normal range (Table 2). However, due to the low level of cooperation of LSPD patients, we adopted an 8-word (14 syllables) declarative sentence (syntactically simple) that in European Portuguese is expected to have a low level of voice variability compared to complex sentences or text reading, which are normally used for this task

compared to complex sentences of text reading, which are normally used for this task						
	PD Patients		Normal value			
		(N=24)				
Respiratory support for speech Vowel duration (sec)	5.8 [4.4-11.5.8]		97 (1.1) ^			
Voice stability						
Pitch break time (sec)	1	24 [0.2-2.6.1]	NA*			
Jitter (%)	0.8 [0.5-1.1]		≤ 0.5-1%			
Voice variability F ₀ SD (Hz)	2.4 [1.6-4]		2-4Hz			
Voice quality (Hz) F ₀	MALE (N=14)	FEMALE (N=10)	MALE	FEMALE		
	125 [104-152]	202 [160-226.8]	128 (36)**	198 (44)**		

Table 2. Values for LSPD patients are presented as median [IQR, 25th–75th percentile]. Values for healthy subjects are presented as mean (SD), as reported in literature (Maslan J. et al., 2011; Barkana BD & Zhou JA, 2015, Colton & Casper, 1996; Titze IR, 1993). F0: fundamental frequency; FOSD: fundamental frequency standard deviation; NA*: not available (healthy voices should have no trouble in maintaining voicing during a sustained vowel. Thus is 0% of voice breaks. No standard values are available). ^: normal value for vowel duration are referred to a healthy population aged between 71 and 80 years old. **: normal value for voice quality are referred to a healthy population aged between 55 and 80 years old.

Voice features and PD severity

- \triangleright A positive correlation was found between disease duration and voice quality (R=0.51; p=0.013) and a negative one with speech rate (R= -0.55; p=0.008).
- \triangleright Motor impairment (MDS-UPDRS-III) had a moderate significant correlation with respiratory support for speech (R= -0.43; p=0.045) and pitch break time (R= -0.565; p=0.006).
- ➤ No correlations were found between voice and speech features and axial motor impairment, neither between speech rate and freezing.

L-dopa challenge test

- ➤ The median L-dopa dose for the test was 375 mg (IQR: 277-375).
- ➤ L-dopa significantly improved MDS-UPDRS-III score (20%; IQR: 11.5%-32%);
- ➤ Sub-analysis of MDS-UPDRS-III scores for axial signs showed a significant median improvement after L-dopa intake for all the sub-items, except speech;
- ➤ None of voice and speech variables changed significantly after L-dopa intake as assessed by automatic analysis (Table 3).
- Equally, separate analysis of non-demented and demented patients showed no modification of speech and voice variables following L-dopa intake.

LSPD p	atients (N= 24)	MED ON	n valua
	MED OFF	MED ON	p - value
MDS-UPDRS-III	64 [52-77]	50 [40-54]	<0.001
Speech	2 [1-3]	2 [1-3]	0.83
Freezing of gait	3 [1-4]	2 [0-3]	<0.05 (0.01)
Postural Stability	3 [2-4]	3 [2-3]	<0.05 (0.014)
Gait	3 [2-4]	3 [2-3]	<0.05 (0.01)
Axial Signs	10 [7-13]	8 [6-13]	<0.05 (0.01)
HY	4 [2-4.75]	4 [2-4]	0.7
mAIMS	0	1 [0-6.75]	0.04
Voice Respiratory support for speech Vowel duration (sec)	5.8 [4.4-11.5]	7 [3.6-10.6]	0.6
Voice stability			
Pitch break time	1.2 [0.2-2.6]	0.8 [0.07-2.5]	0.9
Jitter	0.8 [0.5-1.1]	0.7 [0.4-1]	0.5
Voice quality F ₀	154 [123-209]	162 [147-203]	0.2
Voice variability SentenceSFoSD	31 [19-51]	29 [20-40]	0.5
Speech rate	5 [3.6-5.6]	5 [4.2-5.7]	0.2

Table 3. Values are presented as median [IQR, 25th–75th percentile]. Statistical significant results are in bold. Axial Signs: sum of item 3.1, 3.10-3.12 of the MDS-UPDRS-III. P – value is the results of MED OFF versus MED ON scores. mAIMS: Modified Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale.

CONCLUSION

- **Speech** is severely affected among LSPD patients.
- * This is the first report on L-dopa response of speech and voice in a sample of LSPD patients
- No effect of L-dopa was found on speech and voice by means of both automated analysis and clinical evaluation, although patients had a moderate positive motor response, even present for some axial signs, with the exception of speech.
- Our findings highlight the need for alternative non-dopaminergic/non-pharmacologic treatments to specifically target and improve communication of LSPD patients