



# **Diagnostic evaluation of Headache in Emergency** departments: are we doing enough?

## E MERLI<sup>1,2</sup>, P DE CAROLIS<sup>2</sup>, G GUIZZARDI<sup>3</sup>, V BUA<sup>3</sup>, N BINETTI<sup>3</sup>, P CORTELLI<sup>1,2</sup>, S CEVOLI<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences (DIBINEM) Bologna University,

<sup>2</sup> IRCCS Institute of Neurological Sciences, Bologna,

<sup>3</sup> Dipartimento di Emergenza Urgenza Ausl Bologna.

### Introduction

The aim of this study was to ascertain the adherence to the diagnostic algorithm for non-traumatic headaches in the Emergency Departments of the local health trust of Bologna in order to prove the efficacy of decision-making procedures in emergency in order to optimize the use of the limited resources available.

#### Results

80

**Revised scenarios' attribution** 

## **Methods**

We analyzed all patients attending EDs during July and August 2016 for non-traumatic headache as principal complaint. Physicians classified them according to the clinical scenario proposed in the algorithm. All scenarios were then revised by a neurologist expert in headache.

Scenario 1	severe headache, with acute onset or with neurological findings or with vomiting or syncope at the onset of headache	Head CT must be performed →if negative LP is indicated →if normal neurologist evaluation in 24h
Scenario 2	severe headache with fever and/or neck stiffness	Head CT and LP must be performed
Scenario 3	headache of recent onset, progressively worsening or persistent	Head CT and blood tests are indicated → if negative neurologist evaluation in 7 days
Scenario 4	patients with a history of	blood tests and neurological



patients with a history of headache who complained of headache very similar to previous attacks in terms of intensity, duration, and associated symptoms

DIOOU LESIS AND NEUROIOGICAL follow up

Scenario 1 with CT scan negative Scenario 2

#### CT (20%)

#### PL indicated but not performed



Respecting the correct use of resources

Five cases who should have been included in Scenario 1 cohort were misclassified, but they nevertheless underwent the CT scan.

Two cases who should have been in Scenario 2 were not well attributed, they underwent CT scan, but none LP.

All other misclassifications let patients undergo more exams than the necessary.

Clinical neurological evaluations were not requested in 37/42 (88%) of patients requiring them.

#### Conclusions

In order to optimize the use of diagnostic exams we underline the importance of a careful history taking and neurological examination.

This study demonstrates how appropriate education of physicians on these 4 simple scenarios led to avoid resource wasting, in particular head CT scans in EDs.

#### **Bibliography**

1) Evidence-based diagnosis of nontraumatic headache in the emergency department: a consensus statement on four clinical scenarios, Cortelli et Al, Headache. 2004 Jun;44(6):587-95

2) Risk stratification of non-traumatic headache in the emercency department, Grimaldi et al, J Neurol (2009) 256:51-57

3) Clinic and emergency room evaluation and testing of headache, Nye et al, Headache 2015 Oct;55(9):1301-8







