

GNE MYOPATHY FUNCTIONAL ACTIVITY SCALE (GNEM-FAS): A FOUR YEARS FOLLOW UP IN 10 HIBM PATIENTS

Parisi D.¹, Portaro S., ² Brizzi T.^{1,3}, Biasini F.¹, Cavallaro F.¹, Vita G.¹, Toscano A.¹, Rodolico C.¹

¹ Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Messina ²IRCSS Centro Neurolesi Bonino Pulejo, Messina ³DIBIMIS, University of Palermo

INTRODUCTION

• GNE myopathy (GNEM), also known as hereditary inclusion body myopathy (h-IBM), distal myopathy with rimmed vacuoles (DMRV), IBM2 or Nonaka Disease, is a rare, severe and progressive autosomal recessive disorder due to GNE mutations blocking biosynthesis of sialic acid [1] • The clinical course of h-IBM is characterized by progressive proximal and distal weakness and wasting of both upper and lower limbs with quadriceps sparing, usually beginning after 20 years of age. Diagnosis is based on clinical findings, histopathology ("rimmed vacuoles") and

molecular analysis [2]

• Progressive weakness leads to an increasing disability; patients usually loose ambulation within 10-20 years after onset and they need supportive care for daily activities. A disease-specific measurement of functional activity has been proposed to determine the impact of weakness and mobility restrictions on daily functions to optimize patients care [2]. The goal of this study is to evaluate its application in our cohort of h-IBM patients

PATIENTS AND METHODS





• We present a cohort of 11 patients (8 F and 3 M) with h-IBM. Age at onset ranged between 19 and 32 years (medium value: 24 years). All patients have been genetically defined for GNE variants. Two of them (1 and 2) are enrolled in UX001-CL301 trial with Aceneuramic Acid

• After clinical evaluation, GNE myopathy functional activity scale (GNEM-FAS) was administered at the first visit (T0) performed on 2013 (when GNEM-FAS was validate) and at the last visit (T1) after a 4 years follow-up

• GNEM-FAS (*Table 1*) consists of a 25-item questionnaire. Each item was rated from 0 to 4 with higher scores representing better function. Total scores range from 0 to 100; subscale scores range from 0 to 40 for Mobility, 0–32 for upper extremity use (UE) and 0–28 for Self-Care [3].

Mobility	Activity Level					Upper Extremity	Activity Level					S	elf-Care		Activity Level				
	NO limitations NO compensations	Slowly/Some difficulty May use orthotics	WITH devices and/or	Requires MIN- MOD assist of	Unable or requires MAX			INDEPENDENT	- [DEP	PENDENT				INDEPENDENT	•	DE	PENDENT	
1. Turn in Bed	NO devices 4	NO external support	external support 2	person 1	assist of person 0		NO limitations NO compensations	Slowly/Some difficulty NO modifications	WITH devices modifications and/ or external support	Requires MIN- MOD assist of	Unable or requires MAX assist of person			NO limitations NO compensations	Slowly/Some difficulty NO devices	WITH devices modifications and/	Requires MIN- MOD assist of	Unable or requires MAX	
 Supine to Sit Sit to Stand Walking 	4	3	2	1	0	11. Making Fist (all fingers flexing fully)	4	3	2	1	0	19. Brushing	teeth	NO devices	NO external support	or external support	person 1	assist of person	
5. Stepping up on Curb	4	3	2	1	0	12. Writing with pencil or pen	4	3	2	1	0	20. Brushing	washing hair	4	3	2	1	0	
6. Climbing Stairs7. Reach to Floor and Recover (e.g. pick up object from floor)	4	3	2	1	0	13. Hand to mouth (e.g. lifting filled glass or mug)	4	3	2	1	0	21. Dressing (e.g. shirt over	upper body head)	4	3	2	1	0	
8. Floor to Standing	4	3	2	1	0	14. Cutting foods with utensils (e.g. meat with regular knife)	4	3	2	1	0	22. Dressing	lower body	4	3	2	1	0	
Additional Mobility Items	Runs fast NO limitations		Activity Level			15. Carrying objects (e.g. bag of med weight groceries)	4	3	2	1	0	23. Buttonin	5	4	3	2	1	0	
9. Running	NO compensations NO devices				Unable to run	16. Opening doors	4	3	2	1	0	24. Bathing		4	3	2	1	0	
	4 Jumps vertically NO limitations	3	2	1	0 Unable to jump	17. Opening drink bottles (e.g. small mouth water bottle)	4	3	2	1	0	(consider tub of 25. Toileting	nd shower)	4	3	2	1	0	
10. Vertical Jump (able to clear both feet)	NO compensations NO devices 4	3	2	1	vertically	18. Lifting objects overhead (e.g med weight object on high shelf)	4	3	2	1	0	(items 1-25	COLUMN TOTAL	.S					

Iable 1: GIVENI-FAS														
	RESULTS													
PATIENTS	PATIENTS GENDER AG	AGE	AGE AT ONSET	GNEM-FAS TO			GNEM-FAS TO	GNEM-FAS T1			GNEM-FAS T1	LOSS OF AMBULATION (YEARS AFTER ONSET)	• The mean GNEM-FAS total score was 66.4 out of 100 (46-	
			MOBILITY	UE	SELF CARE		MOBILITY	UE	SELF CARE			85) at the first visit (T0) and 38.8 out of 100 (28-77) at the		
1	F	45	30	24	30	27	82	23	27	27	77	WALKING	last visit (T1)	
2	F	30	27	16	32	27	85	16	29	27	76	WALKING	• At T0, mobility subscores averaged 42%, Upper	
3	F	28	19	26	30	26	79	2	12	15	29	6	Extremities (UE) 92%, and Self-Care 64% of the maximum	
4	F	28	19	26	29	25	79	3	11	14	28	6	possible	
5	F	39	22	9	25	21	55	1	16	11	28	13	At T1 mobility averaged 12% UE 58% and Self-Care 52%	
6	F	49	23	5	26	15	46	1	18	10	29	20	of the maximum level	
7	F	56	28	8	30	22	56	2	19	10	31	20		
8	М	31	19	24	30	27	81	2	19	15	36	10	- T = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1	
9	М	31	26	14.	29	23	59	2	23	14	39	3	• The most involved domain was the mobility; in fact, 80%	
10	F	39	22	21	31	21	57	2	19	12	33	6	of our patients lost ambulation	
11	М	60	32	10	25	17	52	1	13	7	21	22	• 7 out of 11 pts needed wheelchair within 11 years since the	
Medium val	Ie	39.6	24.2	16,9	28.8	22.8	66.4	5	18.7	14.7	38.8	11.7	onset of first symptoms	

DISCUSSION

- The GNEM-FAS is a novel, disease-specific scale that could be successfully administered to ambulatory adult patients as a measure of their physical functions
- Mobility, upper extremities use and self-care need to be carefully assessed to determine the impact of weakness and mobility restrictions on daily functions
- GNEM-FAS is a helpful tool to assess the progression of the disease; it is appropriately combined with myometry use and quality of life (InQoL) assessment in current trials

Bibliography

Table 2: Patient's data

1. Das B, Goyal MK, Bhatkar SR, Vinny PW, Modi M, Lal V, Gayathri N, Mahadevan A, Radotra BD. Hereditary inclusion body myopathy: A myopathy with unique topography of weakness, yet frequently misdiagnosed: Case series and review of literature. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2016 Jan-Mar;19(1):119-22.





Development of a disease-specific instrument for measuring function and independence. Neuromuscular Disorders Volume 23, Issues 9–10, October 2013, Page 755.