## Preliminary design and test of the "6K scale" for Bulbar Symptoms evaluation in patients with SBMA.



Querin G<sup>1</sup>, Battel I<sup>2</sup>, Mometto L<sup>1</sup>, Martinelli I<sup>1</sup>, Meo G<sup>1</sup>, Ricciardi C<sup>1</sup>, Bertolin C<sup>1</sup>, Pegoraro E<sup>1</sup>, Sorarù G<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departement of Neurosciences, University of Padova, Padova, Italy. <sup>2</sup>UOC Neurologia, Ospedale dell'Angelo, ULLS12 Veneziana, Mestre (VE), Italy

## **Objective**

Spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy (SBMA) is a rare, late onset, X-linked neuromuscular disease characterized by slowly progressive limbs and bulbar muscle weakness and atrophy. Bulbar symptoms are a main characteristic of the disease and they may be severe and invalidating even though slow progressing. Nevertheless, a dedicated tool for their evaluation is still not available.

Aim of this study was to design and test a new scale for evaluation of bulbar function in SBMA.

## **Methods**

- 60 genetically confirmed SBMA patients were considered.
- Construction of the 6K-scale  $\rightarrow$  the scale is projected to evaluate the function of V, VII, IX, X, XI and XII cranial nerves (CN) and of ansa cervicalis. It is made by by 6 sub-scores and a total score (MAX score = 61).
- Patients underwent medical history collection and functional status evaluation through SBMAFRS, 6MWT, AMAT and fVC% evaluation.
- Each patient was administered the 6K-scale by two different and independent expert speech therapist.
- 18 patients underwent a re-test evaluation after 3 weeks.

		Opening and strength are normal	3		
	Jaw open to	Opening is normal but strength is weak	2		
	resistance	Opening is minimal and strength is absent	1		
	resistance	Absence of jaw opening	0		
		Lateralisation on both sides is normal	3		
		Deficit of one side lateralisation	2		
	Jaw lateralisation		_		
V- Trigeminal		Minimal lateralisation attempts	1		
	T .:! .:	Absence of movement	0		
nerve	Tactile sensitivity of	Normal	3		
	the face (Eyebrows,	Mild	2		
	Cheeks, Superior	Moderate	1		
	Lips, Inferior Lips)	Severe	0		
	Tactile sensitivity of	Normal (6/6)	3		
	2/3 anterior portion	Mild (5/6-4/6)	2		
	of the tongue (Right	Moderate (3/6-2/6)	1		
	and Left)	Severe (1/6-0/6)	0		
		The eye closure is possible in both eyes	3		
		One or both eyes remain slightly open (less than ½ of eye-lid)	2		
	Eye Closure	One or both eyes remain slightly open (more than 1/2 of eye-	1		
		lid)	<del>  _</del>		
		Absence of eye closure	0		
	Wrinkle eyebrows	Contraction is normal and symmetric	2		
VII- Facial nerve		Slight asymmetric contraction			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Severe asymmetric contraction	1		
		Absence of contraction	0		
VIII Tudiui ileive		Contraction is normal and symmetric	3		
		Slight asymmetric contraction	2		
	Smile	Severe asymmetric contraction or slightly movements of the	1		
		angles of the mouth			
		Absence of contraction	0		
		Contraction is normal and symmetric	3		
	Kiss	Slight asymmetric contraction	2		
		Severe asymmetric contraction	1		
		Absence of contraction	0		
		Contraction is normal and verbal production is not nasal	3		
	Elevation of the soft	Slight contraction and/or nasal quality of speech	2		
	palate	Absence of contraction and/or	1		
IX-		hypernasality.			
	Tactile sensitivity of	Tactile sensitivity is present on both sides of the tongue	3		
Glossopharyngeal	1/3 posterior portion	Tactile sensitivity is present only on one side and/or it is	2		
nerve	of the tongue (Right	reduced  Absong of tootile consitivity	1		
	adf Left)	Absence of tactile sensitivity	1		
	C D (I	Present	3		
	Gag Reflex	Hypoactive/ Hyperactive	2		
		Absence of reflex	3		
		2 consecutive sound cough events	-		
	Voluntary Cough	1 consecutive sound cough event	2		
X- Vagus nerve		1 consecutive sound cough event and weak	1		
		Absence of cough	0		
		No dysphonia	3		
	Vocal quality	Slight dysphonia	2		
		Severe dysphonia	1		

XII- Hypoglossal nerve		The subject protrudes 2/3 of the tongue out					
		The subject protrudes 1/3 of the tongue out and/or there is slight lateralization					
	Lingual Protrusion	The subject protrudes less than 1/3 of the tongue and/or there is great lateralization	1				
		Absence of movement					
		Lateralization is possible without difficulties for both sides of the mouth					
	Lingual Lateralization	Lateralization is possible only for one side of mouth and it is accomplished by using jaw movements					
		The movement is limited and for one or both dental arch					
		Absence of movement	0				
		The movement is possible for the superior and inferior dental arch					
	Sliding tongue	The movement is imprecise for one or both dental arch					
		The movement is limited and for one or both dental arch					
		Absence of movement	0				
		The click tongue is possible with sound	3				
	Click of tongue	The click tongue is weak without the specific sound					
		There is a hint of the click of the tongue					
		Absence of movement	0				
Ansa cervicalis-	Head control	The three movements (a;b;c) are possible without problems					
	a) Up-down	The 2/3 of the movements (a;b;c) are possible					
	b) Rotation right-left	One movement is possible and with difficulties controlling the					
XI-C1-C2	c) Inclination right-	head					
	left	No head control	0				

## **Scale validation**

- Mean 6K score was 47 +/-5 (maximum possible score = 60).
- Cronbach's alfa coefficient was used to test internal consistency reliability of the scale. It was 0.85 for the total scale and respectively 0.83 for V, IX and X CN subscale and 0.84 for VII and XII CN and for ansa cervicalis subscale, demonstrating high internal consistency.
- Inter-rater and test-retest reliability were over 0.70 as was perfect agreement test.
- Factor analysis retained a six factors solution accounting for 71.7% of the variance.
- We found strong correlation between the 6K scale total score and SBMAFRS total score and sub-scores (p<0.05). 6K scale total score correlated also with general functional scores (AMAT, 6MWT, p<0.05) as described in the table, suggesting that it could be a good integrative tool in global evaluation of SBMA patients.

	Age (ys)	Disease duration (ys)	Weight (Kg)	6MWT (meters)	AMAT score	SBMAFRS total score	SBMAFRS bulbar subscore	SBMAFRS upper limbs subscore	SBMAFRS trunk subscore	SBMAFRS lower limbs subscore	SBMAFRS respiratory subscore	fVC%
Total CN scale	r = -0.41	r = -0.20	r = 0.06	r = 0.36	r= 0.48	r = 0.58	r = 0.53	r = 0.26	r = 0.46	r = 0.40	r = 0.04	r = 0.34
	p = 0.01	p = 0.22	p = 0.68	p = 0.02	p = 0.01	p = 0.00	p = 0.02	p = 0.12	p = 0.02	p = 0.01	p = 0.70	p = 0.02
V CN subscale	r = 0.09	r = 0-13	r = -0.41	r = 0.20	r = 0.16	r = 0.24	r = 0.18	r = 0.23	r = 0.23	r = 0.15	r = 0.02	r = 0.11
	p = 0.56	p = 0.44	p = 0.01	p = 021	p = 0.32	p = 0.14	p = 0.27	p = 0.16	p = 16	p = 0.37	p = 0.63	p = 0.53
VII CN subscale	r = 0.34	r = -0.03	r = 0.20	r = 0.34	r = 0.40	r = 0.49	r = 0.36	r = 0.22	r = 0.47	r = 0.32	r = 0.10	r = 0.17
	p = 0.03	p = 0.85	p = 0.21	p = 0.03	p = 0.01	p = 0.00	p = 0.02	p = 0.17	p = 0.00	p = 0.03	p = 0.42	p = 0.32
IX CN subscale	r = -0.17	r = -0.04	r = -0.09	r = 0.19	r = 0.33	r = 0.32	r = 0.27	r = -0.03	r = 0.36	r = 0.12	r = 0.12	r = 0.43
	p = 0.29	p = 0.79	p = 0.58	p = 0.25	p = 0.03	p = 0.03	p = 0.10	p = 0.85	p = 0.02	p = 0.44	p = 0.37	p = 0.00
X CN subscale	r = -0.35	r = 0.10	r = -0.06	r = 0.16	r = 0.29	r = 0.38	r = 0.33	r = 0.23	r = 0.25	r = 0.26	r = 0.15	r = 0.16
	p = 0.02	p = 0.54	p = 0.67	p = 0.32	p = 0.07	p = 0.01	p = 0.03	p = 0.16	p = 0.12	p = 0.10	p = 0.30	p = 0.33
XII CN subscale	r = -0.22	r = -0.20	r = 0.01	r = 0.24	r = 0.31	r = 0.36	r = 0.39	r = 0.12	r = 0.24	r = 0.12	r = 0.04	r = 0.25
	p = 0.17	p = 0.07	p = 0.92	p = 0.14	p = 0.04	p = 0.02	p = 0.01	p = 0.45	p = 0.14	p = 0.10	p = 0.81	p = 0.14
Ansa cervicalis subscale	r = -0.14	r = 0.02	r = 0.01	r = -0.03	r = 0.03	r = 0.36	r = 0.09	r = -0.11	r = 0.13	r = 0.06	r = 0.12	r = 0.17
	p = 0.38	p = 0.85	p = 0.92	p = 0.85	p = 0.83	p = 0.02	p = 0.56	p = 0.49	p = 0.44	p = 0.68	p = 0.45	p = 0.32

This is the first scale dedicated to evaluation of bulbar symptoms in SBMA. The scale has the characteristics of simple design, easy use, good reliability and validity, and good correlation between test results and global status of the patients. All these features indicate that the K6 scale can quantitatively evaluate bulbar involvement in SBMA and that is thus suitable for clinical studies.