

ANTICIPATION OF LONG-TERM DISABILITY PROGRESSION IN PPMS USING MRI: A 15-YEAR LONGITUDINAL STUDY

^{1,2}M.A. Rocca, ³M.P. Sormani, ⁴M. Rovaris, ⁴D. Caputo, ⁵A. Ghezzi, ⁶E. Montanari, ⁷A. Bertolotto, ⁸A. Laroni, ⁹R. Bergamaschi, ²V. Martinelli, ²G. Comi, ^{1,2}M. Filippi

¹Neuroimaging Research Unit, Institute of Experimental Neurology, Division of Neuroscience and ²Dept. of Neurology, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy; ³Dept. of Health Sciences (DISSAL), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; ⁴Multiple Sclerosis Centre, IRCCS Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi Onlus Milan, Italy; ⁵Neurologia 2, Centro Studi Sclerosi Multipla, Gallarate Hospital, Gallarate, Italy; ⁶Multiple Sclerosis Centre, Vaio Hospital, Fidenza, Italy; ⁷Neurologia & Centro Riferimento Regionale Sclerosi Multipla (CRESM) AOU, San Luigi Gonzaga, Orbassano, Italy; ⁸Dept. of Neurology, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics, Maternal and Child Health (DINOEMI), University of Genoa/IRCCS AOU San Martino-IST, Genoa, Italy; ⁹Interdepartment Multiple Sclerosis Research Center, C. Mondino National Institute of Neurology Foundation, Pavia, Italy

INTRODUCTION AND AIMS

Now that treatment strategies for primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS) start to appear for a clinical use,^{1,2} there is an increasing necessity to identify those PPMS patients who will have a more severe clinical outcome in the long-term, to optimize treatment decisions and patient management.

An observational cohort study of 54 PPMS patients is ongoing at our Unit, with clinical and brain and spinal cord MRI evaluations at baseline and after 15 months (FU1).³ A clinical re-evaluation was done after 5 years (FU2).⁴ A lower level of disability and a more severe baseline gray matter (GM) damage identified PPMS patients with an increased risk of disease progression at FU2. Here, we report the results of the 15-year (FU3) clinical follow-up of these patients.

Aims

To investigate the added value of conventional and DT MRI measures of brain and cervical cord damage in predicting the long-term clinical evolution of PPMS in comparison to simple clinical assessment.

METHODS

Study population: 54 PPMS patients (F/M = 27/27; mean age at study entry=51.3 years, range=25-68 years; median disease duration at study entry=10.0 years, range=2-26) were enrolled.

Clinical and MRI protocol: 1.5 T brain and cord conventional and DT MRI scans and clinical evaluation with EDSS assessment were performed at baseline and after a median follow-up of 15.0 months (FU1, n=54). The following sequences were acquired: a) axial dual-echo turbo spin echo (TSE), b) axial T1-weighted conventional SE, c) axial echo-planar pulsed-gradient spin-echo (PGSE), d) cervical cord 3D T1-weighted MP-RAGE.

Clinical re-assessment after a median FU of 56 months (FU2, n=52) and after a median FU of 15.1 years (FU3, n=49) was then repeated.

Statistical analysis: The following analysis were performed:

• **Univariate analysis of prediction**, after adjusting for FU duration, to detect MRI/clinical variables associated with a significant worsening of Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) at FU3.

• **Linear regression models** to screen the clinical and MRI variables as independent predictors of EDSS change at FU3 (p value for inclusion <0.10) five models were implemented. The discriminating ability of the five models was tested with the **leave-one-out cross-validation method**.

RESULTS

Study population:

Of the original cohort of 54 PPMS, 5 patients did not undertake the 15-year visit (unwilling to attend or unreachable), 2 patients had died between FU2 and FU3 for reasons due to MS (pneumonia) and received an EDSS of 10.

Median EDSS scores were 6.0 (IQR=4.5-6.5) at baseline and 7.5 (IQR=7.0-8.0) at FU3 (p<0.001).

At baseline, 36 patients were not receiving any DMT, 9 received azathioprine, 4 mitoxantrone and 5 methotrexate. At FU3 44 patients were not receiving any DMT (2 patients had stopped azathioprine, 2 mitoxantrone and 4 methotrexate).

At 15-year follow-up, 44 (89.8%) patients worsened and 5 (10.2%) remained stable.

MRI analysis: Table 1 summarizes the main brain and spinal cord MRI findings at baseline and at 15-month follow-up (FU1) from PPMS patients.

Table 1. Brain and spinal cord MRI findings at baseline and 15-month follow-up (FU1) from PPMS patients.

	Baseline Mean (SD)	FU1 Mean (SD)	p-value*
T2 LV (ml)	16.8 (9.4)	17.3 (16.3)	0.004
T1 LV (ml)	6.6 (6.3)	7.3 (7.2)	0.003
New T2 lesions (range)	-	1.6 (0-9)	-
New T1 lesions (range)	-	0.8 (0-7)	-
NBV (ml)	1373 (90)	-	-
PBVC (%)	-	-1.14 (1.13)	-
Cervical cord CSA (mm ²)	64.4 (9.4)	61.7 (10.6)	0.02
Average lesion MD (mm ² /s x 10 ⁻³)	1.09 (0.11)	1.10 (0.11)	0.15
Average lesion FA	0.26 (0.03)	0.26 (0.03)	0.57
Average NAWM MD (mm ² /s x 10 ⁻³)	0.87 (0.05)	0.86 (0.05)	0.09
Average NAWM FA	0.26 (0.02)	0.26 (0.03)	0.45
Average GM MD (mm ² /s x 10 ⁻³)	1.09 (0.08)	1.09 (0.08)	0.45

Abbreviations: LV=lesion volume; NBV=normalized brain volume; PBVC=percentage brain volume change; CSA=cross-sectional area; FA=fractional anisotropy; MD=mean diffusivity; NAWM=normal-appearing white matter; GM=gray matter.

*Non parametric t test.

Univariate analysis of prediction: Table 2 reports the results of the univariate analysis of correlations between clinical/MRI variables and delta-EDSS at FU3.

Table 2. Univariate correlations between clinical/MRI quantities (independent variables) and EDSS change at 15-year follow-up (FU3) (dependent variable).

Independent variable	EDSS change at FU3	
	Pearson correlation	p value
Age	-0.28	0.05
Disease duration (log)	-0.33	0.02
Baseline EDSS	-0.61	<0.001
Delta EDSS at FU1	0.51	0.002
Delta EDSS at FU2	0.71	<0.001
Baseline T2 LV (log)	0.24	0.09
T2 LV percentage change	0.10	0.49
Baseline T1 LV (log)	0.17	0.26
T1 LV percentage change	0.11	0.46
Number of new T2 lesions	0.41	0.004
Number of new T1 lesions	0.35	0.015
NBV	-0.21	0.16
PBVC	-0.21	0.14
Cervical cord CSA	0.06	0.67
Cervical cord CSA percentage change	-0.35	0.025
Average lesion MD	0.04	0.78
Average lesion MD percentage change	-0.23	0.12
Average lesion FA	0.10	0.47
Average lesion FA percentage change	0.04	0.8
Average NAWM MD	0.33	0.03
Average NAWM MD percentage change	0.10	0.52
Average NAWM FA	-0.32	0.03
Average NAWM FA percentage change	-0.11	0.47
Average GM MD	0.30	0.04
Average GM MD percentage change	-0.14	0.36

Linear regression models: The results of the five linear regression models implemented are summarized in Table 3. **Integrating clinical and MRI variables at FU1 predicted EDSS changes at FU3 better than clinical factors at FU2 (R²=61% vs R²=57%). The use of such a model allowed predicting long-term EDSS change with a precision within 1 point in 38 of 49 patients (77.6%).**

Table 3. Results of the linear regression models used to screen the clinical and MRI variables as independent predictors of EDSS change at 15-year follow-up (FU3).

Time	Factors			
	Clinical		Clinical and MRI	
	Variables (coefficient, p)	R ²	Variables (coefficient, p)	R ²
Baseline	Age (-0.03, 0.09)	0.42	Baseline GM MD (4.59, 0.023)	0.45
	Baseline EDSS (-0.63, <0.001)		Baseline EDSS (-0.68, <0.001)	
FU1	Baseline EDSS (-0.49, 0.001)	0.47	7.3 (7.2) Baseline EDSS (-0.54, <0.001)	0.61
	FU1 EDSS change (0.51, 0.047)		FU1 EDSS change (0.39, 0.09)	
	Age (-0.03, 0.08)		FU1 new T1-hypointense lesions (0.28, 0.003)	
	-		PBVC (-0.24, 0.05)	
FU2	Baseline EDSS (-0.35, 0.01)	0.57	Baseline GM MD (3.86, 0.03)	-
	FU2 EDSS change (0.67, <0.001)		-	

CONCLUSIONS

The integration of clinical and imaging measures obtained at baseline and after a relatively short follow-up (15 months) resulted in an earlier prognostication of long-term clinical worsening in PPMS than only clinical evaluation.

The integrated model allowed to identify around 78% of patients with 15-year clinical deterioration 4 years before than with simple clinical evaluation.

DISCLOSURES

M.A. Rocca received speakers honoraria from Biogen Idec, Novartis, Teva Neurosciences and Genzyme and receives research support from the Italian Ministry of Health and Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla. M.P. Sormani received consulting fees from Biogen, Teva, Novartis, Genzyme, Roche, TG Therapeutics, Vertex, and Merck Serono. M. Rovaris received speakers honoraria and financial support for research activities from Almirall, Novartis, Teva Pharmaceuticals and Merck Serono. D. Caputo: nothing to disclose. Ghezzi received honoraria for speaking from Biogen-Idec, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Genzyme, Teva, and Allergan. E. Montanari received grants from Novartis and Serono, speaker honoraria from Biogen and Teva and served on the scientific advisory board from Teva, Novartis and Biogen Idec. A. Bertolotto received honoraria from Biogen, Merck, Mylan, Sanofi-Genzyme, Novartis, TEVA. His institution received grant support from Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Genzyme, Merck, Novartis, TEVA, from the Italian Multiple Sclerosis Society, Fondazione Associazione Ricerca Biomedica ONLUS and San Luigi ONLUS. A. Laroni has received personal compensation from Novartis, Genzyme, Biogen, and TEVA for public speaking and advisory boards. R. Bergamaschi has served on scientific advisory boards for Biogen Idec and Almirall; has received honoraria from Bayer Schering, Biogen Idec, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Sanofi-Aventis, Teva, V. Martinelli has received honoraria from Bayer Schering, Biogen-Idec, Genzyme, Teva, Merck Serono and Novartis. G. Comi has received compensation from Novartis, Teva, Sanofi, Genzyme, Merck, Biogen, Excemed, Roche, Almirall, Chugai, Receptos, Forward Pharma, Excemed, and Roche. M. Filippi is Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Neurology; serves on a scientific advisory board for Teva Pharmaceutical Industries; has received compensation from Biogen Idec, Merck-Serono, Novartis, and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries; and receives research support from Biogen Idec, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Novartis, Italian Ministry of Health, Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi Multipla, Cure PSP, Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation (ADDF), the Jacques and Gloria Gossweiler Foundation (Switzerland), and ARISLA (Fondazione Italiana di Ricerca per la SLA).

FUNDINGS: This study has been partially supported by a grant from Fondazione Italiana Sclerosi multipla (FISM 2014/PMS/6).

REFERENCES: 1) Montalban et al, N Engl J Med 2016; 2) Tourbah et al., Mult Scler 2016; 3) Rovaris et al., Neuroimage 2005; 4) Rovaris et al., Brain 2006