# **Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in** refractory chronic migraine patients

V. Favoni, S. Cevoli, G. Pierangeli, G. Giannini, R. Terlizzi, C. La Morgia

Department of Biomedical and NeuroMotor Sciences (DIBINEM) Alma Mater Studiorum-University of Bologna, IRCCS Scienze Neurologiche, Bologna, Italy.

### Background:

SIND & Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna

Thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness has been documented by optical coherence tomography (OCT) in a few studies in migraine patients<sup>1-9</sup>. Some studies reporting reduction of the RNFL thickness put forward the hypothesis of retinal hypoperfusion. There is still a lack of studies evaluating RNFL thickness in chronic migraine patients<sup>9</sup>.

#### Aim:

To evaluate by OCT the RNFL thickness in chronic migraine patients compared to control subjects.

#### Methods:

In a prospective study, we evaluated RNFL thickness by OCT in a series of 28 patients with refractory chronic migraine. All subjects underwent average and single sectors (temporal, superior, nasal and inferior) RNFL thickness measurements by OCT (StratusOCT, software version 4.0.1; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc, Dublin, CA, USA). Chronic migraine patients were compared with age and gender-matched controls. For both groups we used a randomly selected eye for further statistical analysis. The Student's t test has been used to compare OCT values between migraine and control groups (p value < 0.05).

## Results:

•28 patients with refractory chronic migraine were enrolled (21 F, 7 M; mean age 50.1  $\pm$  10.8 years; range 23-67 years) and compared to 43 sex and age matched controls (32 F, 11M; mean age 49.6  $\pm$  12.1 years; range 23-68 years). • The RNFL average thickness did **not significantly** 

differ in both groups and did not significantly differ between patients and controls (97.4  $\pm$  8.4  $\mu$ m in migraine patients vs 99.5  $\pm$  13.0  $\mu$ m in controls, p=0.37).

• Moreover, there was no significant difference in the RNFL thickness in any of the optic nerve quadrants analyzed.

CDH		C		
MEAN	STD.DEV	MEAN	STD.DEV	T.TEST
50,14	10,85	49,65	12,07	0,8621
97,04	8,41	99,54	12,98	0,3716
69,75	10,07	69,63	12,36	0,9653
115,57	12,37	120,84	18,85	0,1959
75,96	12,76	81,56	18,67	0,1699
126,86	17,16	126,58	20,79	0,9536
	<b>MEAN</b> 50,14 97,04 69,75 115,57 75,96 126,86	CDH       MEAN     STD.DEV       50,14     10,85       97,04     8,41       69,75     10,07       115,57     12,37       75,96     12,76       126,86     17,16	CDH     MEAN       50,14     10,85     49,65       97,04     8,41     99,54       69,75     10,07     69,63       115,57     12,37     120,84       75,96     12,76     81,55       126,86     17,16     126,58	CDH     CTRL       MEAN     STD.DEV     MEAN     STD.DEV       50,14     10,85     49,65     12,07       97,04     8,41     99,54     12,98       69,75     10,07     69,63     12,36       115,57     12,37     120,84     18,85       75,96     12,76     81,56     18,67       126,86     17,16     126,58     20,79

AuthorMigraine Patients (n)Controls (n)Diagnostic techniqueChronic MigraineRNFLT reductionTan et al. 20053925SCPnoNoneMartinez et al. 20087053OCTnoTMartinez et al. 20095744SCPnoAVGGipponi et al. 20132416OCTnoSKirbas et al. 20135040OCTnoSYukel et al. 20135050OCTnoAVGSokhabi et al. 20136030OCTnoNEkhinci et al. 20149030OCTnoNReggio et al. 20152742OCTyesAVG						
Tan et al. 2005     39     25     SCP     no     None       Martinez et al. 2008     70     53     OCT     no     T       Martinez et al. 2009     57     44     SCP     no     AVG       Gipponi et al. 2013     24     16     OCT     no     S       Kirbas et al. 2013     50     40     OCT     no     S       Yukel et al. 2013     50     50     OCT     no     N       Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     N       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     N       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N	Author	Migraine Patients (n)	Controls (n)	Diagnostic technique	Chronic Migraine	RNFLT reduction
Martinez et al. 2008     70     53     OCT     no     T       Martinez et al. 2009     57     44     SCP     no     AVG       Gipponi et al. 2013     24     16     OCT     no     S       Kirbas et al. 2013     50     40     OCT     no     S       Yukel et al. 2013     50     50     OCT     no     AVG       Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     N       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     NVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N	Tan et al. 2005	39	25	SCP	no	None
Martinez et al. 2009     57     44     SCP     no     AVG       Gipponi et al. 2013     24     16     OCT     no     S       Kirbas et al. 2013     50     40     OCT     no     S       Yukel et al. 2013     50     50     OCT     no     AVG       Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     NVG       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     AVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Martinez et al. 2008	70	53	OCT	no	Т
Gipponi et al. 2013     24     16     OCT     no     S       Kirbas et al. 2013     50     40     OCT     no     S       Yukel et al. 2013     50     50     OCT     no     AVG       Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     N       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     AVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Martinez et al. 2009	57	44	SCP	no	AVG
Kirbas et al. 2013     50     40     OCT     no     S       Yukel et al. 2013     50     50     OCT     no     AVG       Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     N       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     AVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Gipponi et al. 2013	24	16	OCT	no	S
Yukel et al. 2013     50     50     OCT     no     AVG       Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     N       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     AVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Kirbas et al. 2013	50	40	OCT	no	S
Sokhabi et al. 2013     60     30     OCT     no     N       Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     AVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Yukel et al. 2013	50	50	OCT	no	AVG
Ekhinci et al. 2014     90     30     OCT     no     AVG+T+S       Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Sokhabi et al. 2013	60	30	OCT	no	N
Demican et al. 2015     76     40     OCT     no     N       Reggio et al. 2015     27     42     OCT     yes     AVG	Ekhinci et al. 2014	90	30	OCT	no	AVG+T+S+I
Reggio et al. 2015 27 42 OCT yes AVG	Demican et al. 2015	76	40	OCT	no	N
	Reggio et al. 2015	27	42	OCT	yes	AVG
Present study     28     43     OCT     yes     None	Present study	28	43	ОСТ	yes	None

Table 1: Evaluation of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in literature.

RNFLT: retinal nerve fiber layer thickness; SCP: scanning laser polarimetry; OCT: optical coherence tomography ; T: temporal quadrant; AVG: average; S: superior quadrant; N: nasal quadrant; I:inferior quadrant.

**WebPoster** 

# **Conclusions:**

>In our series of refractory chronic migraine patients, we failed to detect differences in the RNFL thickness between patients and controls.

>Our results are similar to those reported in a study using scanning laser polarimetry which failed to detect significant RNFL thinning in migraine patients<sup>10</sup>. >A larger number of patients is needed to confirm these findings.

#### References

I. Martinez A, Proupim N, Sanchez M. Retinal nerve fibre layer thickness measurements using optical coherence tomography in migraine patients. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008;92(8):1069-75.
2. Martinez A, Proupim N, Sanchez M. Scanning laser polarimetry with variable corneal compensation in migraine patients. Acta Ophthalmol. 2009;87(7):746-53.
3. Gipponi S, Scaroni N, Venturelli E, Forbice E, Rao R, Liberini P, Padovani A, Semeraro F. Reduction in retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in migraine patients. Neurol Sci. 2013;34(6):841-5.
4. Kirbas S, Tufeki A, Turkyilmaz K, Kirbas A, Oner V, Durmus M. Evaluation of the retinal changes in patients with chronic migraine. Acta Neurol Belg. 2013;113(2):167-72.
5. Yülek F, Dirik EB, Eren Y, Simavli H, Uğurlu N, Çağıl N, Şimşek Ş.Macula and retinal nerve fiber layer in migraine patients: analysis by spectral domain optic coherence tomography. Semin Ophthalmol. 2015;30(2):124-8

o. 6.Sorkhabi R, Mostafaei S, Ahoor M, Talebi M. Evaluation of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness in migraine. Iran J Neurol. 2013;12(2):51-5. 7.Ekinci M, Ceylan E, Cağatay HH, Keleş S, Hüseyinoğlu N, Tanyildiz B, Cakici O, Kartal B. Retinal nerve fibre layer, ganglion cell layer and choroid thinning in migraine with aura. BMC Ophthalmol. 2014 31;14:75. 8.Demircan S, Ataş M, Ank Yüksel S, Ulusoy MD, Yuvaci I, Artfoğlu HB, Başkan B, Zararsız G. The impact of migraine on posterior ocular structures. J Ophthalmol. 2015;2015:868967. 9.ReggioE, Chisari C, Ferrigno G, Patti F, Zappia M. Evaluation of retinal nerve fiber layer thickness (RNFLT) with ocular coherence tomography spectral domain (OCT-SD) in migraine patients. Neurology 2015; 84 (14):

Supplement P1.294. 10. Tan FU, Akarsu C, Güllü R. Retinal nerve fiber layer thickness is unaffected in migraine patients. Acta Neurol Scand. 2005;112(1):19-23.

XLVI CONGRESSO NAZIONALE 10-13 OTTOBRE 2015 - GENOVA

