

Plasma Exchange as a rescue treatment in Multiple Sclerosis relapses refractory to steroid treatment.

Authors: S. La Gioia, V. Barcella, M. Conti, B. Frigeni, M. Vedovello, M. Gardinetti, M. Rottoli

Affiliation: USC Neurologia, USS Malattie Autoimmuni- ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII - Bergamo

Background

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is an immune mediated disease, which causes significant disability. First line treatment of acute relapses is high dose glucocorticosteroid pulse treatment given daily over 3 to 5 days. If symptoms persist despite steroid treatment, therapeutic plasma exchange (PE) is suggested. The removal of humoral factors is currently considered as the rationale for PE in MS.

Objectives

To report our MS centre experience with PE for MS relapses refractory to high dose intravenous treatment.

Materials and methods

Based on 2010 Mc Donald Criteria, PE data were evaluated from 16 patients diagnosed with an MS relapse unsuccessfully treated with intravenous methylprednisolone (MPD; 1 gram qd for 5 days) from July 2005 to May 2016. Deteriorated and insufficiently improved symptoms after MPD treatment were defined as MPD unresponsiveness. Response to PE treatment was classified as a definite change of neurological deficit with significant impact on function within the EDSS functional score.

Results

We analysed the PE data of 8 males and 8 females, with a mean age of MS onset of 31 years old (SD 11.3) and a mean age at relapse of 34 years old (SD 10.5). Relapses were multifocal in 6 patients, monolateral severe optic neuritis (visual acuity less than 0.4) in 7 patients, myelitis in 2 patients and due to brainstem localization in 1 patient. Median EDSS before PE treatment was 4.25 (range 2.5-7) and 2 (range 1.5-6.5) after at least 2 PE sessions (median number of sessions: 5, range 2-5) with a median EDSS change of 1.5 (range 0.5-5). No meaningful adverse events occurred.

Sex	Age at onset	Age at relapse	Type of relapse	N. of PE	EDSS at relapse	EDSS post PE	delta EDSS
F	27	28	Optic neuritis	2	3,5	2	1,5
M	21	21	Multifocal	5	7	2	5
M	28	28	Multifocal	3	4,5	1,5	3
M	49	51	Optic neuritis	3	6	5	1
M	49	50	Spinal cord	5	6	4,5	1,5
M	39	39	Optic neuritis	2	3,5	1,5	2
F	14	19	Brainstem	5	7	6,5	0,5
F	26	26	Spinal cord	5	5,5	2,5	3
F	17	18	Multifocal	5	6	4,5	1,5
M	34	35	Optic neuritis	5	2,5	1	1,5
F	36	36	Optic neuritis	5	3	2	1
F	47	47	Multifocal	5	3	2	1
F	30	30	Multifocal	5	2,5	1,5	1
M	22	22	Multifocal	5	4	2	2
M	27	52	Optic neuritis	3	5	4,5	0,5
F	35	38	Optic neuritis	3	3,5	3	0,5

Discussion and conclusions

Clinical response to PE was obtained in all our patients. Though our sample is quite small, the result seems in line with a previous report on a wider cohort (72.6% in 90 patients with Clinically Isolated Syndrome or MS, independently of previous MPD treatment). The relevant prevalence of multifocal relapses (37.5%) in our sample reinforces the favourable effect of PE in case of severe clinical relapses. The lack of a control group to compare our results with PE after MPD and the retrospective nature of our analysis are limitations. A prospective randomised trial on a more extended cohort would be the best way to evaluate PE effect after MPD.

References:

- Ehler J, Koball S, Sauer M, Mitzner S, Hickstein H, Benecke R, Zettl UK. Response to Therapeutic Plasma Exchange as a Rescue Treatment in Clinically Isolated Syndromes and Acute Worsening of Multiple Sclerosis: A Retrospective Analysis of 90 Patients. PLoS One. 2015 Aug 5;10(8):e0134583.
- Sellebjerg F, Barnes D, Filippini G, Midgard R, Montalban X, Rieckmann P, Selmaj K, Visser LH, Sorensen PS. EFNS guideline on treatment of multiple sclerosis relapses: report of an EFNS task force on treatment of multiple sclerosis relapses. Eur J Neurol. 2005 Dec;12(12):939-46.
- Elovaara I, Apostolski S, van Doorn P, Gilhus NE, Hietaharju A, Honkaniemi J, van Schaik IN, Scolding N, Soelberg Sorensen P, Udd B; EFNS. EFNS guidelines for the use of intravenous immunoglobulin in treatment of neurological diseases: EFNS task force on the use of intravenous immunoglobulin in treatment of neurological diseases. Eur J Neurol. 2008 Sep;15(9):893-908.